Data Structures - Assignment no. 3, March 14, 2007

Remarks:

- Write both your name and your ID number very clearly on the top of the exercise. Write your exercises in pen, or in clearly visible pencil. Please write *very* clearly.
- Recall that 80% of the theoretical exercises must be submitted. The exercises can and must be worked on and submitted alone.
- Give correctness and complexity proofs for every algorithm you write.
- For every problem, find the most efficient algorithm. A non efficient algorithm will be considered as an incomplete answer.
- For every question where you are required to write pseudo-code, also explain your solution in words.
- 1. Define the vertex-depth of a tree to be the distance between its root and the furthest leaf, measured in vertices, not in edges. For example, the depth of a tree which contains a single vertex is 1. The depth of an empty tree is 0.

A binary search tree is called a *valid AVL tree* if for each node v the following condition holds: Let v_1, v_2 be v's children. Then we require that the difference between the depth of the subtree whose root is v_1 and the depth of the subtree whose root is v_2 is -1, 0, or +1. For example, Figure 1 depicts a valid AVL tree (the keys are not listed).

- (a) Prove that a valid AVL tree of depth d always has at least F_d vertices, where F_d is the d'th Fibonacci number. $(F_0 = 0, F_1 = 1, F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2})$. (Hint: use induction on d).
- (b) Let T be a valid AVL tree with n vertices. Prove that the depth of T is $O(\log n)$. You may use item (a).
- 2. The pre-order read of a binary tree is the sequence of elements that are printed by the following procedure:

 $\begin{array}{l} \underline{PRE-ORDER(v)} \\ \hline IF (v = null) RETURN \\ ELSE \\ PRINT v.key \\ PRE-ORDER(v.left) \\ PRE-ORDER(v.right) \\ RETURN \end{array}$

The post-order read of a binary tree is the sequence of elements that are printed by the following procedure:

```
\begin{array}{l} \underline{POST\text{-}ORDER(v)} \\ \hline IF (v = null) RETURN \\ ELSE \\ POST\text{-}ORDER(v.left) \\ POST\text{-}ORDER(v.right) \\ PRINT v.key \\ RETURN \end{array}
```

The in-order read of a binary tree is the sequence of elements that are printed by the following procedure:

```
IN-ORDER(v)
IF (v = null) RETURN
ELSE
IN-ORDER(v.left)
PRINT v.key
IN-ORDER(v.right)
RETURN
```

- (a) Give a tree with at least 3 nodes (all nodes must have different keys) such that both its in-order read and its pre-order read are the same, or prove that there is no such tree.
- (b) Give a tree with at least 3 nodes (all nodes must have different keys) such that both its in-order read and its post-order read are the same, or prove that there is no such tree.
- (c) Give a tree with at least 3 nodes (all nodes must have different keys) such that both its pre-order read and its post-order read are the same, or prove that there is no such tree.
- 3. In Figure 2 you are shown a Red-Black Tree, whose elements are stored at the leaves. (Recall that the values in the inner nodes are "pivot" elements which are not really elements of the data structure, and whose purpose is to allow searching).

In this question, we ask you to insert the keys 20 and 26 to the tree, then delete key 19, then insert 21,24 and delete 17, and finally insert 14. Now draw the resulting tree.

- 4. Suppose that we start from an empty Red-Black tree, and that we perform n insert operations, where n > 1. Prove that we necessarily end up with a Red-Black tree which has at least one red vertex. Assume that we are dealing with a Red-Black tree that stores its elements at the leaves. (Hint: One way to prove this is: (1) prove that the second insert operation creates a red node; (2) then prove that for any insert operation, if there is a red node before applying it, then there is also a red node after applying it. Note that there may be other ways to prove the claim.)
- 5. Riddle. Not to be turned in. Let T_1, T_2 be two unlabeled binary trees with n nodes each. Prove that there exists a sequence of O(n) rotations that transforms T_1 to T_2 . Is it possible that for any two such trees there exists a sequence of o(n) rotations that transforms one to the other? <u>Note:</u> Remember that in a binary tree there is a difference between a left son and a right son – they are not interchangable. For example, a tree consisting of two nodes – a root and its left son, is different

from a tree consisting of two nodes – a root and its right son.





